## INOUE, HARUO 10/751,475 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 3714 Andrew Kim All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Liam McDowell. (1) Andrew Kim. (2) Xuan Thai. Date of Interview: 15 January 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,11 and 14. Identification of prior art discussed: Inque. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) X was not reached. h) № N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to claim 1, the participants discussed the interpretation of the first reel in association with the normal and reverse direction and the winning symbol displaying range. With respect to claim 14, the participants discussed the interpretation of the means plus function limitation. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. XUAN M. THAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required